

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/792,176	03/02/2004	Brian T. Chait	016866-000211US	3757
20350	7590 08/25/2005		EXAM	INER
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP			DELACROIX MUIRHEI, CYBILLE	
	TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			PAPER NUMBER
SAN FRAN				
			DATE MAILED: 08/25/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/792,176	CHAIT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Cybille Delacroix-Muirheid	1614				
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOTHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communing of the period for reply specified above, the maximum states of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum states are reply within the set or extended period for reply within t	CATION.  of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repunication.  days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (utory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH will, by statute, cause the application to become ABAI	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 June 2005</u> .						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		·				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 64-74 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 64-74 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restrict	e withdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to 11) The oath or declaration is objected to	,	, ,				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>06/13/05</u>.</li> </ol>		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

He

Application/Control Number: 10/792,176 Page 2

Art Unit: 1614

## **Detailed Action**

The following is responsive to applicant's amendment received June 13, 2005.

Claims 64-74 are currently pending.

The previous objection to the specification and the objection to claim 72, set forth at pages 2-3 of the office action mailed May 20, 2005, are withdrawn in view of applicant's amendment and the remarks contained therein.

However, upon further review of the claims and specification, the following double patenting rejection is respectfully submitted.

Prosecution on the merits is reopened. The indication of allowability of claims 64-71 and 73-74 is withdrawn in view of the following new ground of rejection.

## Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

1. Claims 64-74 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-19 of U.S. Patent No. 6,271,037. An obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the

Art Unit: 1614

conflicting claims are not identical, but an examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428. 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the instant application are generic to all that is recited in the claims of USPN '037. That is, the claims of USPN '037 fall entirely within the scope of the claims of the instant application. In other words, the claims of the instant application are anticipated by claims 1-19 of USPN '037. Specifically, the claims of USPN '037 recite a method of analyzing the sequence of formed or forming polypeptides in a peptide ladder comprising determining the difference in molecular mass between formed and forming polypeptides (members of a peptide ladder), said differences coupled with the positions of said adjacent members in the series being indicative of the identity and position of the amino acid residue in the formed or forming polypeptide. Any covalent modifications present in the formed and/or forming polypeptide would be inherently detected when the mass differences of the formed and forming polypeptides are determined.

## Conclusion

Claims 64-74 are rejected.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Cybille Delacroix-Muirheid** whose telephone number

Application/Control Number: 10/792,176 Page 4

Art Unit: 1614

is **571-272-0572**. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs. from 8:30 to 6:00 as well as every other Friday from 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Christopher Low**, can be reached on **571-272-0951**. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **571-273-8300**.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CDM \( \triangle \)\\\
Aug. 22, 2005

PRIMARY EXAMINER,